

1 DAVID CHIU, State Bar #189542  
2 City Attorney  
3 YVONNE R. MERÉ, State Bar #173594  
Chief Deputy City Attorney  
4 TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar #231775  
EDMUND T. WANG, State Bar #278755  
5 ZUZANA S. IKELS, State Bar #208671  
Deputy City Attorneys  
Fox Plaza  
1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94102-5408  
Telephone: (415) 554-4655 [Steeley]  
(415) 554-3857 [Wang]  
(415) 355-3307 [Ikels]  
Facsimile: (415) 554-3837  
E-Mail: Tara.Steeley@sfcityatty.org  
Edmund.Wang@sfcityatty.org  
Zuzana.ikels@sfcityatty.org

10  
11 Attorneys for Defendant  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
12

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 HASTINGS COLLEGE OF THE LAW, a  
public trust and institution of higher education  
16 duly organized under the laws and the  
Constitution of the State of California;  
17 FALLON VICTORIA, an individual; RENE  
DENIS, an individual; TENDERLOIN  
18 MERCHANTS AND PROPERTY  
ASSOCIATION, a business association;  
RANDY HUGHES, an individual; and  
KRISTEN VILLALOBOS, an individual,  
20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.  
22

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO, a municipal entity,  
24 Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-3033-JST

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SAN  
FRANCISCO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
TO RELATE THIS ACTION WITH  
*COALITION ON HOMELESSNESS, ET AL. V.  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET  
AL, CASE NO. 4:22-CV-05502-DMR ("COH")***

1 Pursuant to CIVIL LOCAL RULES 3-12 and 7-11, Defendants City and County of San Francisco  
2 submitted an Administrative Motion to Relate to relate this case: *Hastings College of Law et al. v.*  
3 *County of San Francisco, et al.*, ( “*Hastings*”) and *Coalition on Homelessness, et al. v. City and*  
4 *County of San Francisco, et al*, case no. 4:22-cv-05502-DMR ( “*COH*”).

5 Having considered Defendants’ submissions, and the Hastings Plaintiffs stipulation and non-  
6 opposition, the Court, and COH Intervenor’s opposition, the Court hereby GRANTS Defendants’  
7 motion and RELATES the *COH* and *Hastings* case.

8 Each of the factors considered under sub-section (a)(1) and (2) of L.R. 3-12 exist here and  
9 weigh in favor of relating the cases. The *Hastings* and *COH* cases concern substantially the same  
10 parties, issues, and events, regarding the homeless, drug abuse and mental health crises in San  
11 Francisco, satisfying (a)(1) of L.R. 3-12. It will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and  
12 expense if the two cases case are conducted before different Judges, under sub-section (a)(2) of L.R. 3-  
13 12. Because it will promote judicial economy and avoid the risk of conflicting rulings and relief, the  
14 COH and Hastings cases are ordered related. *See also Pierce v. Cnty. Of Orange*, 526 F.3d 1190 (9th  
15 Cir.2008); *Our Children’s Earth Found. v. Nat’l Marine Fisheries Serv.*, Nos. 14-cv-1130 SC, 14-  
16 4365 SC, 2015 WL 4452136, at \*12 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2015); *In re Leapfron Enters., Inc. Sec. Litig.*,  
17 No. 03-cv-5421 at \*3-6 (N.D. Cal. July 5, 2005); *Pepper v. Apple*, No. 11-cv-06714 YGR, 2019 U.S.  
18 Dist. LEXIS 143264, at \*7 (N.D. Cal Aug. 22, 2019).

19 IT IS SO ORDERED

20  
21 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

22 HONORABLE JON S. TIGAR  
23 United States District Judge